XIV. INFORMATION-PROCESSING APPROACHES

In tune with the cognitive revolution, Erdelyi (1974) called for an information-processing approach to studying defenses. Issues of consciousness, repression, and threat became issues of attention, memory, and filtering. Since then a number of theoretical pieces have been couched in such information-processing terms (Grzegolowska, 1976; Hamilton, 1983; G. E. Schwartz, 1977). Indeed, the impact of cognitive psychology may be seen in most modern analyses of defense either in terms of theory (e.g., Horowitz) or in terms of method (e.g., percept-genetic). Before we return to these broad theories, we will consider some smaller domains that have been subject to particular scrutiny.

A. Individual Differences

Heilbrun's recent work is a good example of the direct application of cognitive psychology to the measurement of defenses. In Heilbrun and Pepe (1985), for example, defenses are assessed by examining the cognitive processing of self-descriptions under various motivational conditions. Discrepancies between various conditions provide measures of projection, repression, rationalization, and denial. The authors concluded that unconscious utilization of projection and rationalization was related to successful control of stress, whereas unconscious repression was related to excessive stress. The conscious use of denial was related to a low level of stress.

B. Attention and Defense

The dynamics of defense can be studied by examining the interplay between selective attention and attentional breakdowns known as "intrusions," that is, the partial interference of threatening thoughts in some ongoing thought process. Sophisticated cognitive methodology and analyses (e.g., signal detection) are necessary to capture such phenomena. Spence (1983), for example, showed the indirect effects on speech patterns of weakly defended beliefs. Nielsen and Sarason (1981) examined disruptive effects of sexual and achievement-related distractors on a dichotic shadowing task. Bonanno and Wexler (1992) also found selective perception effects as a function of stimulus affective valence. Finally, Blum and his colleagues used hypnotic inductions to condition affect to arbitrary words (e.g., Blum, 1986; Blum & Barbour, 1979): the disruptive effects faded over time as selective inattention gradually developed.

Wegner's recent research (1989) has suggested that intrusions actually result from attempts to suppress unwanted thoughts. Subjects instructed to avoid a particular thought (e.g., white bears) were later reported to have more intrusions of such thoughts than a group of subjects actually instructed to think about white bears.

Finally, Paulhus and his colleagues have demonstrated a link between disruption and defense (Paulhus, Graf, & Van Selst, 1989). For example, Paulhus and Levitt (1987) found that, in the presence of threatening distractors, subjects showed

a temporary increase in the positivity of self-descriptions. This sequence provides an automatic mechanism for defending the individual under stress (Paulhus, 1993). As a whole, this body of research points to a dynamic attentional substrate for psychological defense.

C. Subliminal Impact

Many cognitive psychologists have also come to accept the validity of subliminal perception, albeit in a form somewhat different from early models (Bargh, 1984; Dixon, 1981; Marcel, 1983; Zajonc, 1980). The work of Silverman and his colleagues (e.g., Silverman, 1983) on the Subliminal Psychodynamic Activation (SPA) of unconscious fantasies warrants some acknowledgement in a review of defense mechanisms literature. Some 100 articles and doctoral dissertations support the hypothesis and the efficacy of the methodology 4 to 1 (for reviews, see Hardaway, 1990; Silverman, 1983; J. Weinberger & Silverman, 1990). This extensive body of literature is well cited and has influenced the work of others, particularly the recent percept-genesis theoreticians (discussed later).

However, defenses per se, and research on individual differences, have not been the focus of the SPA investigations. Potentially, SPA could be used to stimulate defense in the laboratory (Geisler, 1986). However, the theoretical and empirical foundations of SPA have recently been the subject of trenchant critiques (for reviews, see Balay & Shevrin, 1988; Brody, 1988; for reply, see J. Weinberger, 1989).

D. Psychophysiology of Defense

The influence of cognitive psychology has also prompted wider use of psychophysiological measurements (e.g., Epstein & Clarke, 1970; Shevrin, 1988). For example, skin response has been used to indicate repression (e.g., Hare, 1966; D. A. Weinberger et al., 1979). Repression-prone individuals have also shown increased evoked potentials for unacknowledged threats (Shevrin, Smith, & Fritzler, 1970). Finally, Assor, Aronoff, and Messe (1986) studied the role of defensiveness in impression formation using physiological arousal as a dependent measure. In the study of defense, as in the study of psychopathology as a whole, a dual rationale for studying psychophysiological responses is that they are commonly seen as an indicator of psychological damage (Davidson, 1993) as well as defensive activity (Gerin et al., 1995).

XV. SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY

For many years, the topics of defense and the unconscious were virtually taboo in social psychology.⁵ This rejection peaked with the advent of attribution theory,

⁵ At the same time, it seemed that certain core concepts, for example, cognitive dissonance, were simply euphemisms for the study of defense mechanisms.

where the tendency was to explain all mental processes in terms of "cold cognition," that is, cognition devoid of affect (e.g., Greenwald, 1980; Nisbett & Ross, 1979). Although traditional terminology is still eschewed, the 1980s and 1990s have seen an active interest in the elements of defense—motivation, the unconscious, and even the possible benefits of bias. Indeed, recent reviews of social cognition now accept the importance of these elements (e.g., Fiske & Taylor, 1991; Showers & Cantor, 1985).

In social psychology, the concept of a motivation has emphasized maintaining or enhancing self-esteem rather than warding off anxiety. Typically, threats to self-esteem are induced by fabricating academic and social failures (in contrast to psychoanalytic threats) that may be studied in the laboratory. In the revised theory of cognitive dissonance (Aronson, 1969), for example, a threat to self-esteem is considered necessary for dissonance reduction. The most comprehensive of these motivational models is Tesser's (1986) theory of self-esteem maintenance: Four factors (maintenance, relative performance, importance of the domain, and closeness of the comparison other) interact to determine threat to self-esteem and, therefore, subsequent behavior (Tesser & Campbell, 1982).

Greenwald's (1980) seminal article extended the notion of defense to cognitive conservation. Indeed, a sustained program of research by Swann has that defense of self-esteem is less important than shown defense of identity (e.g., Swann, 1992). Baumeister (1993) went further to cite the motivation to escape the self to explain a wide range of defensive phenomena. C. R. Snyder's elaboration of "excuse-making" (e.g., Snyder & Higgins, 1988) also broadened the range of defensive processes to include protection of self-image and sense of control.

The evidence for "depressive realism" (Mischel, 1979) has also encouraged social psychologists to consider possible positive consequences of inflated self-perceptions (e.g., Kruglanski, 1989). This view is best represented in the influential review by Taylor and Brown (1988). They lay out the benefits of positive illusions for mental health. They also distinguish these beneficial positive illusions from traditional defenses, which they view as maladaptive. The Taylor and Brown review was followed by up an entire issue of the Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology titled "Self-Illusion: When Are They Adaptive? (Snyder, 1989). Colvin and Block (1994) countered with data suggesting that self-enhancement illusions are fundamentally detrimental.

Over time, the term "defense" has gradually crept into a variety of social-psychological terms such as "defensive attribution," "defensive self-presentation," and "defensive pessimism" (Norem & Cantor, 1986). At least one active topic has retained the traditional term—projection (e.g., J. D. Campbell, 1986; Holmes, 1981; Paulhus & Reynolds, 1995) while distinguishing between attribution and defensive forms (Sherwood, 1980).

In sum, it appears that social psychologists have begun to address virtually the full gamut of psychoanalytic defenses, albeit with different labels. Many would argue that this delay was necessary because, only now, with improved laboratory technology and with less pressure from a dominant psychoanalytic community, can such phenomena be studied effectively.

XVI. DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSES

A number of developmental psychologists (Chandler, Paget, & Koch, 1978; Cramer, 1983; Feldman & Custrini, 1988) have proposed that defenses can be conceptualized along a developmental continuum, according to their complexity and degree of maturity. Following Piaget's stage model of cognitive development, and based on the belief that defensive strategies vary in their complexity, these writers have argued that (a) the various defenses appear at different stages and (b) there are identifiable stages of development for each specific defense.

For example, denial occurs early in childhood and is linked to an infant's lack of muscular ability to remove itself from anxiety-arousing situations. Sleep is thus a common behavioral manifestation. Later, a child physically acts to exclude noxious stimuli (hands over eyes), and finally uses language to deny the existence of danger. More advanced defensive strategies, such as projection, emerge later in childhood, tied again to physical and cognitive developments. Intellectualization, asceticism, and identification appear still later, typically, in adolescence. Vestiges of all mechanisms can and do exist into adulthood, but a preponderance of the later-developing defenses is presumed to exist in the healthy adult.

There is some consensus about how the more advanced defenses emerge. Feldman and Custrini (1988) argue that as children mature, they gain an increased ability to perceive when others are being deceptive, and should better understand their own self-deceptive activities, such as when they utilize denial. Thus, a broader range of more effective defensive strategies is required to better deceive oneself and ward off anxiety. A child is forced to abandon an earlier, simpler defense in the light of an increasing awareness of its operation: a conscious defense is an ineffective defense (Cramer, 1983, 1991). Thus, it must be replaced with a more complex strategy that remains out of awareness, and therefore is effective.

Chandler et al. (1978) found evidence to support this developmental sequence. Preoperational children are incapable of comprehending any defensive strategy. At a slightly older age, concrete operational children are capable of inverse (repression, denial) and later reciprocal (displacement, reaction formation, rationalization) defenses. Finally, formal operational children can employ all types, including the most complex defenses, projection and introjection, which deal with statements about statements and second-order propositions. Cramer (1991) has confirmed a developmental sequencing of denial, projection, and identification. Feldman, Jenkins, and Popoola (1979) indirectly validated these findings in a study on the development of self-deception techniques in children.

For comprehensive treatments of defenses in children and adolescents, the reader is referred to recent books by Cramer (1991) and Smith and Danielsson (1982).

XVII. RELATIONS AMONG THEORETICAL TAXONOMIES

A number of the theoretical systems noted above include taxonomies of defense—some even describe the structural relations among them. Unfortunately, these taxonomies differ dramatically both in terminology and in organization. We note four common criteria for categorizing defensive processes: (a) their cognitive complexity and level of development (Chandler et al., 1978; Cramer, 1983), (b) their internal–external orientation (Gleser & Ihilevich, 1969; Ihilevich & Gleser, 1986), (c) their maturity–immaturity (Haan, 1956, 1969, 1977; Vaillant, 1971), and (d) their level of conscious awareness (Haan, 1977; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Vaillant et al., 1986).

However, there is less diversity than meets the eye. A closer examination reveals that these taxonomies have some fundamental similarities. By pointing out the similarities in their organizational principles, we may help reconcile apparently diverse systems.

For example, the Lazarus, Epstein, and DMI models involve a distinction between problem-focused responses (those altering the troubling transaction) and emotion-focused responses (those directed at affect regulation). This dichotomy, to some extent, parallels that between attentional and avoidance strategies (Suls & Fletcher, 1985; Taylor, 1990). Miller's (1989) distinction between monitoring and blunting has a similar flavor. Such theorists suggest that avoidant or emotion-focused strategies are superior in managing short-term or uncontrollable stress whereas attentional or problem-focused strategies may be more effective for long-term or controllable stressors (Lazarus, 1986; Suls & Fletcher, 1985; Taylor & Clark, 1986).

Another growing theme distinguishes defensive from enhancement processes: one form minimizes negative information about the self, and the other form promotes positive information (e.g., Sackeim, 1983). Some writers have argued further that, ultimately, a good offense can have defensive value, that is, it can buffer the individual from subsequent threats. Examples of enhancement processes include Taylor and Brown's (1988) positive illusions and Paulhus and Reid's (1991) self-deceptive enhancement. Although those writers see offensive and defensive processes as independent, Baumeister, Tice, and Hutton (1989) argue that they represent default strategies of high-versus low-self-esteem individuals.

Using another common organizing principle, Cramer and the DMI theorists argue that certain defenses are internally oriented (for example, turning against self) while others can be placed on an externally oriented pole (projection). Thus, in empirical work (e.g., Ihilevich & Gleser, 1986) attempts are made to relate defensive styles to field articulation and locus of control. Starting with Cohen (1964), a similar distinction has guided the articulation of the defensive styles of those with high self-esteem (defensives) and low self-esteem (projectives).

Another useful organizing principle is a hierarchy of maturity: Haan's coping—defense—fragmentation trio closely parallel Vaillant's four-tiered mature—immature/neurotic—psychotic defenses. Semrad, Grinspoon, and Fienberg (1973) also proposed a classification system of ontogenetic maturity. Similarly, the 29 defenses

outlined by Horowitz (1988), the 28 described by J. C. Perry and Cooper (1989), and the 12 of Hauser (Jacobson et al., 1992) can be ordered along this mature–immature continuum. By contrast, Ihilevich and Gleser's DMI mechanisms all fall at the same level of the hierarchy, namely, the neurotic/immature level.

One can also order the defensive processes in terms of the degree of consciousness involved. The mature (Vaillant) or coping (Haan, Plutchik) processes (e.g., sublimation, suppression, humor) and some of the higher level neurotic defenses (e.g., intellectualization, isolation) are assumed to be more conscious than the lower-level psychotic or fragmented mechanisms (e.g., delusional projection). As with Haan's coping processes, those tapped by the Ways of Coping scale are held to be conscious. Thus, for example, Haan's or Vaillant's suppression resembles the WOC's self-control. However, when they become automatized and no longer require attentional resources, they lose status as coping processes (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984, p. 131). Plutchik and Conte (1989) are the most explicit in explaining how, as a defense becomes more conscious, it develops into a parallel coping process that is far more adaptive.

Note that some recent theorists have challenged the traditional requirement that defenses be fully unconscious (A. Freud, 1936). They emphasize instead the flexible interplay of all defenses with coping (Erdelyi, 1990; Plutchik & Kellerman, 1980).

It is instructive that three of these dimensions—mature-immature, conscious—unconscious, and primitive-complex—are assumed to be closely connected: That is, to the extent that defense is conscious and complex, it tends to be viewed as mature. Thus a central theme runs through these ostensibly different theoretical orderings. Unfortunately, this theme is burdened with evaluative and moral implications. Moreover, despite accumulating evidence to the contrary, the hierarchy is often assumed to correspond to increasing adaptiveness.

After conducting this review, we cannot accept the claim for a single dimension of adaptiveness for defenses. There are too many reasonable yet incommensurate criteria for adaptiveness: short-term distress, long-term distress, task performance, reproductive success, social adjustment, and so forth. In our view, the adaptiveness of defenses can be evaluated only *locally*—that is, only after specifying a precise criterion as well as a precise point in time.⁶

XVIII. CONCLUSION

Apropos the topic of psychological defense, this chapter required the balancing of two conflicting goals. We hoped to demonstrate the diversity of current theories and operationalizations of psychological defense. At the same time, we hoped to

⁶ Kruglanski (1989) makes a similar point about evaluating accuracy in general.

integrate the literature. We suspect that we have been more successful at the former goal than the latter.⁷

There are already a number of useful integrative schemes currently available (e.g., S. H. Cooper, 1989; Horowitz et al., 1990; Conte & Plutchik, 1995; Vaillant, 1992). None of these taxonomies, however, can subsume all the literature reviewed here until there is more consensus on the terminology for various defenses. Even some theoretical models remain fatally incommensurate with others.

Nonetheless, all psychologists interested in psychological defense must agree that the current lack of consensus is a far cry better than the peremptory dismissal of the very notion of defense heard only a few years ago.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work on this chapter was supported by the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada as well as the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association (1994). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual: (4th Edition). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Alexander, R. D. (1979). Darwinism and human affairs. Seattle: University of Washington Press
- Aronson, E. (1969). Cognitive dissonance: A current perspective. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), Advances in experimental social psychology (Vol. 4, pp. 1–34). New York: Academic Press.
- Asendorpf, J. B., & Scherer, K. R. (1983). The discrepant repressor: Differentiation between low anxiety, high anxiety, and repression of anxiety by autonomic-facial-verbal patterns of behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 1334–1346.
- Assor, A., Aronoff, J., & Messe, L. A. (1986). An experimental test of defensive processes in impression formation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 644–650.
- Balay, J., & Shevrin, H. (1988). The subliminal psychodynamic activation method: A critical review. American Psychologist, 43, 161–174.
- Bargh, J. A. (1984). Automatic and conscious processing. In R. S. Wyer & T. K. Srull (Eds.), Handbook of social cognition (Vol. 3, pp. 1–43). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Baumester, R. F. (1993). Escape from self. New York: Guilford Press.
- Baumeister, R. F., Tice, D. M., & Hutton, D. G. (1989). Self-presentational motivations and personality differences in self-esteem. *Journal of Personality*, 57, 547–579.

⁷ Holmes (1974, 1981; Holmes & McCaul, 1989) deserves particular mention as a critic of the evidence for defense mechanisms. He continues to argue that no convincing evidence for the existence of these defenses has ever been produced. His reviews appear to have been highly influential; as he notes himself (Holmes & McCaul, 1989), the volume of laboratory work on repression declined drastically after his 1974 review. Equally careful reviewers (S. H. Cooper, 1992; Erdelyi, 1985), however, have drawn much more favorable conclusions from the same literature.

- Becker, E. (1973). The denial of death. New York: Free Press.
- Bell, P. A., & Byrne, D. (1978). Repression-sensitization. In H. London & J. E. Exner (Eds.), Dimensions of personality (pp. 449–485). New York: Wiley.
- Bloch, A. L., Shear, M. K., Markowitz, J. C., Leon, A. C., & Perry, J. C. (1993). An empirical study of defense mechanisms in dysthymia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 1194–1198.
- Blum, G. S. (1986). A computer model for unconscious interactions of affect potentials and cognitive processes (Tech. Rep. No. 8611). Santa Barbara: University of California.
- Blum, G. S., & Barbour, J. S. (1979). Selective inattention to anxiety-linked stimuli. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 108, 182–224.
- Bonanno, G. A., Keltner, D., Holen, A., & Horowitz, M. J. (1995). When avoiding unpleasant emotions might not be such a bad thing: Verbal-autonomic response dissociation and midlife conjugal bereavement. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 69, 975–989.
- Bonanno, G. A., Davis, P. J., Singer, J. L., & Schwartz, G. E. (1991). The repressor personality and avoidant information processing: A dichotic listening study. *Journal of Research* in Personality, 25, 386–401.
- Bonanno, G. A., & Singer, J. L. (1990). Repressive personality style: Theoretical and methodological implications for health and psychology. In J. L. Singer (Ed.), Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality, psychopathology and health (pp. 435–470). Chicago: U Chicago Press.
- Bonanno, G. A., & Wexler, B. E. (1992). The selective perception and recognition of single words from competing dichotic stimulus pairs. Consciousness and Cognition, 1, 241–264.
- Bond, M., Gardner, S. T., Christian, J., & Sigal, J. J. (1983). Empirical study of self-rated defense styles. Archives of General Psychiatry, 40, 333–338.
- Boor, M., & Schill, T. (1967). Digit symbol performance of subjects varying in anxiety and defensiveness. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 31, 600–603.
- Bowers, K. S., & Meichenbaum, D. (Eds.). (1984). The unconscious reconsidered. New York: Wiley.
- Brenner, C. (1982). The mind in conflict. New York: International University.
- Breznitz, S. (Ed.). (1983). The denial of stress. New York: International University Press.
- Brody, N. (1988). Personality: In search of individuality. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Byrne, D. (1961). The repression-sensitization scale: Rationale, reliability, and validity. *Journal of Personality*, 29, 334–349.
- Byrne, D., Barry, J., & Nelson, D. (1963). Relation of the revised Repression-Sensitization scale to measures of self-description. *Psychological Reports*, 13, 323–334.
- Campbell, D. T. (1983). The two distinct routes beyond kin selection to ultrasociality: Implications for the humanities and social sciences. In D. Bridgeman (Ed.), The nature of prosocial development: Theories and strategies (pp. 38–58). New York: Academic Press.
- Campbell, D. T. (1986). Similarity and uniqueness: The effects of attribute type, relevance, and individual differences in self-esteem and depression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 281–294.
- Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1981). Attention and self-regulation: A control-theory approach to human behavior. New York: Springer.
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 267–283.

- Chandler, M. J., Paget, K. F., & Koch, D. A. (1978). The child's demystification of psychological defense mechanisms: A structural and developmental analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 14, 197–205.
- Cline, P. (1987). The scientific status of the DMT. British Journal of Medical Psychology, 60, 53–59.
- Cohen, A. R. (1964). Attitude change and social influence. New York: Basic Books.
- Colvin, C. R., & Block, J. (1994). Do positive illusions foster mental health? An examination of the Taylor and Brown formulation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116, 3–20.
- Conte, H. R., & Plutchik, R. (Eds.) (1995). Ego defenses: Theory and measurement. New York: Wiley.
- Cooper, C. (1988). Predicting susceptibility to short-term stress with the Defence Mechanism Test. Work and Stress, 2, 49–58.
- Cooper, S. H. (1989). Recent contributions to the theory of defense mechanisms: A comparative view. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, 37, 865–891.
- Cooper, S. H. (1992). The empirical study of defensive processes: A review. In J. W. Barron, M. N. Eagle, & D. L. Wolitzky (Eds.), *Interface of psychoanalysis and psychology* (pp. 327–346). Washington, DC: APA Press.
- Cramer, P. (1983). Children's use of defense mechanisms in reaction to displeasure caused by others. *Journal of Personality*, 51, 79–94.
- Cramer, P. (1988). The Defense Mechanism Inventory: A review of research and discussion of the scales. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 52, 142–164.
- Cramer, P. (1991). The development of defense mechanisms: Theory, research, and assessment. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Crowne, D. P. (1979). The experimental study of personality. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. A. (1964). The approval motive. New York: Wiley.
- Davidson, K. (1993). Suppression and repression in discrepant self-other ratings: Relations with thought control and cardiovascular reactivity. *Journal of Personality*, 61, 669–691.
- Davidson, K., & MacGregor, M. W. (1996). Reliability of an idiographic Q-sort measure of defense mechanisms. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 66, 624–639.
- Davis, P. J. (1987). Repression and the inaccessibility of affective memories. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53, 585-593.
- Davis, P. J. (1990). Repression and the inaccessibility of affective memories. In J. L. Singer (Ed.), *Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality theory, psychopathology, and health* (pp. 387–404). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Davis, P. J., & Schwartz, G. E. (1987). Repression and the inaccessibility of affective memories. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 155–162.
- DeLongis, A., Coyne, J. C., Dakof, G., Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1982). Relationship of daily hassles, uplifts, and major life events to health status. *Health Psychology*, 1, 119-136.
- DeLongis, A., & O'Brien, T. (1990). An interpersonal framework for stress and coping: An application to the families of Alzheimer's patients. In M. A. P. Stephens, J. H. Crowther, S. E. Hobfoll, & D. L. Tennenbaum (Eds.), Stress and coping in later-life families. New York: Hemisphere Publishing.
- Dixon, N. (1981). Preconscious processing. New York: Wiley.
- Dorpat, T. L. (1985). The cognitive arrest hypothesis of denial. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 64, 47–58.
- Eagle, M. (1988). Psychoanalysis and self-deception. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 78–98). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Epstein, S., & Clarke, S. (1970). Heart-rate and skin conductance during experimentally-induced anxiety: Effects of anticipated intensity of noxious stimulation and experience. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 84, 105-112.
- Epstein, S., & Meier, P. (1989). Constructive thinking: A broad coping variable with specific components. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57, 332–350.
- Erdelyi, M. H. (1974). A new look at the new look: Perceptual defense and vigilance. Psychological Review, 81, 1–25.
- Erdelyi, M. H. (1985). Psychoanalysis: Freud's cognitive psychology. New York: Freeman. Erdelyi, M. H. (1990). Repression, reconstruction, and defense: History and integration of psychoanalytic and experimental frameworks. In J. L. Singer (Ed.), Repression and dissociation: Defense mechanisms and personality styles: Current theory and research (pp. 1–31). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Erdelyi, M. H., & Goldberg, G. (1979). Let's not sweep repression under the rug: Toward a cognitive psychology of repression. In J. F. Kihlstrom & F. J. Evans (Eds.), Functional disorders of memory (pp. 355–402). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Erdelyi, M. H., & Kleinbard, J. (1978). Has Ebbinghaus decayed with time? The growth of recall (hypermnesia) over days. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning* and Memory, 4, 275–289.
- Eriksen, C. W., & Pierce, J. (1968). Defense mechanisms. In E. F. Borgatta & W. W. Lambert (Eds.), Handbook of personality theory and research (pp. 1007–1040). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Feldman, R. S., & Custrini, R. J. (1988). Learning to lie and self-deceive: Children's nonverbal communication of deception. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 40–53). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Feldman, R. S., Jenkins, L., & Popoola, L. (1979). Detection of deception in adults and children via facial expressions. Child Development, 50, 350-355.
- Fingarette, H. (1969). Self-deception. New York: Humanities Press.
- Fisher, S., & Greenberg, R. (1977). The scientific credibility of Freud's theories and therapy. New York: Basic Books.
- Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). Social cognition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 21, 219–239.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1985). If it changes, it must be a process: Study of emotion and coping during three stages of a college examination. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48, 150-170.
- Folkman, S., Lazarus, R. S., Dunkel-Shetter, C., DeLongis, A., & Gruen, R. J. (1986). Dynamics of a stressful encounter: Cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 992–1003.
- Frenkel-Brunswik, E. (1939). Mechanisms of self-deception. Journal of Social Psychology, 10, 409–420.
- Freud, A. (1936). The ego and the mechanisms of defense. New York: International Universities Press.
- Geisler, C. (1986). The use of subliminal psychodynamic activation in the study of repression. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51, 844–851.
- Gergen, K. J. (1985). The ethnopsychology of self-deception. In M. W. Martin (Ed.), Self-deception and self-understanding (pp. 228–243). Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Gerin, W., Litt, M. D., Deich, J., & Pickering, T. G. (1995). Self-efficacy as a moderator of perceived control effects on cardiovascular reactivity: Is enhanced control always beneficial? *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 57, 390–397.

- Gero, G. (1951). The concept of defense. Psychoanalytic Quarterly, 20, 565-578.
- Gilbert, D. T., & Cooper, J. (1985). Social psychological strategies of self-deception. In M. W. Martin (Ed.), Self-deception and self-understanding (pp. 75-94). Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Gleser, G. C., & Ihilevich, D. (1969). An objective instrument for measuring defense mechanisms. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 33, 51–60.
- Goldberger, L. (1983). The concept and mechanisms of denial: As selective overview. In S. Breznitz (Ed.), The denial of stress (pp. 83–101). New York: International Universities Press.
- Goleman, D. (1986). Vital lies, simple truths: The psychology of self-deception. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Golin, S., Herron, E. W., Lakota, R., & Reineck, L. (1967). Factor analytic study of the Manifest Anxiety, Extraversion, and Repression-Sensitization scales. *Journal of Con*sulting Psychology, 31, 564–569.
- Greenberg, J., Pyszczynski, T., & Solomon, S. (1986). The cause and consequences of a need for self-esteem: A terror management theory. In R. F. Baumeister (Ed.), Public self and private self (pp. 189–212). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Greenwald, A. G. (1980). The authoritarian ego: Fabrication and revision of personal history. American Psychologist, 33, 603–618.
- Greenwald, A. G. (1988). Self-knowledge and self-deception. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 113–131). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Grzegolowska, H. J. (1976). An activation theory approach to defense mechanisms. Polish Psychological Bulletin, 7, 225–233.
- Gur, R. C., & Sackeim, H. A. (1979). Self-deception: A concept in search of a phenomenon. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 37, 147–169.
- Gurwitz, P. M. (1981). Paths to psychotherapy in the middle years: A longitudinal study. Social Science and Medicine, 15E, 67-76.
- Haan, N. (1963). Proposed model of ego functioning: Coping and defense mechanisms in relationship to I.Q. change. Psychological Monographs, 77, 1–23.
- Haan, N. (1965). Coping and defense mechanisms related to personality inventories. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 29, 373–378.
- Haan, N. (1969). A tripartite model of ego functioning values and clinical and research applications. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 148, 14–30.
- Haan, N. (1977). Coping and defending. New York: Academic Press.
- Haan, N. (1985). Processes of moral development: Cognitive or social disequilibrium? Developmental Psychology, 21, 996–1006.
- Haan, N. (1986). Systematic variability in the quality of moral action, as defined in two formulations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 50, 1271–1284.
- Hamilton, V. (1983). The cognitive structures and processes of human motivation and personality. New York: Wiley.
- Hardaway, R. A. (1990). Subliminally activated symbiotic fantasies: Facts and artifacts. Psychological Bulletin, 107, 177–195.
- Hare, R. D. (1966). Denial of threat and emotional response to impending painful stimulation. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 30, 359–361.
- Hart, D., & Chmiel, S. (1992). Influence of defense mechanisms on moral judgment development—a longitudinal study. Developmental Psychology, 28, 722–730.

- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Pepe, V. (1985). Awareness of cognitive defences and stress management. British Journal of Medical Psychology, 58, 9–17.
- Hentschel, U., & Kiessling, M. (1990). Are defense mechanisms valid predictors of performance on cognitive tasks? In G. I. van Heck, S. E. Hampson, J. Reykowski, & J. Zakrzewski (Eds.), Personality psychology in Europe (Vol. 3, pp. 203–223). Lisse, Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Hentschel, U., Smith, G., & Draguns, J. G. (Eds.). (1986). The roots of perception: Individual differences in information processing within and beyond awareness. New York: North-Holland.
- Holmes, D. S. (1974). Investigations of repression: Differential recall of material experimentally or naturally associated with ego threat. Psychological Bulletin, 81, 632–653.
- Holmes, D. S. (1981). Existence of classical projection and the stress-reducing function of attributive projection: A reply to Sherwood. Psychological Bulletin, 90, 460–466.
- Holmes, D. S., & McCaul, K. D. (1989). Laboratory research on defense mechanisms. In R. W. J. Neufeld (Ed.), Advances in the investigation of psychological stress (pp. 161–192). New York: Wiley.
- Horowitz, M. J. (1986). Stress response syndromes (2nd ed.). Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson. Horowitz, M. J. (1988). Introduction to psychodynamics. New York: Basic Books.
- Horowitz, M. J., Markman, H. C., Stinson, C., Fridhandler, B. M., & Ghannam, J. H. (1990). A classification theory of defense. In J. L. Singer (Ed.), Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality, psychopathology and health (pp. 61–84). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Horowitz, M. J., Milbrath, C., Jordan, D., Stinson, C., Redington, D., Ewart, M., Fridhandler, B., Reidbord, S., & Hartley, D. (1994). Expressive and defensive behavior during discourse on unresolved topics. *Journal of Personality*, 62, 527–563.
- Horowitz, M. J., & Stinson, C. H. (1995). Defense as aspects of person schemas and control processes. In R. Plutchik & H. Conte (Eds.), Ego defenses: Theory and measurement (pp. 79–97). New York: Wiley.
- Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. C. (1986). Defense mechanisms: Their classification, correlates, and measurement with the Defense Mechanisms Inventory. Owosso, MI: DMI Associates.
- Jacobson, A. M., Beardslee, W., Hauser, S. T., Noam, G., Powers, S. I., & Gelfand, E. (1992). Ego defense mechanisms manual. In G. E. Vaillant (Ed.), Ego mechanisms of defense: A guide for clinicians and researchers (pp. 261–278). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Jamner, L. D., & Schwartz, G. E. (1987). Self-deception predicts self-report and endurance of pain. Psychosomatic Medicine, 48, 211–223.
- Joffe, P., & Naditch, M. P. (1977). Paper and pencil measures of coping and defense processes. In N. Haan (Ed.), Coping and defending: Processes of self-environment organization (pp. 280-297). New York: Academic Press.
- Juni, S., & Yanishefsky, D. S. (1983). Defensive style: State or trait? Journal of Personality Assessment, 47, 536-538.
- Kernberg, O. F. (1976). Object relations theory and clinical psychoanalysis. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Kernberg, O. F. (1984). Severe personality disorders: Psychotherapeutic strategies. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Kline, P. (1972). Fact and fantasy in Freudian theory. London: Methuen.
- Kobasa, S. C., Maddi, S. R., & Kahn, S. (1982). Hardiness and health: A prospective study. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 42, 168–177.

- Kragh, U. (1960). The Defense Mechanism Test: A new method for diagnosis and personnel selection. Journal of Applied Psychology, 44, 303–309.
- Kragh, U., & Smith, G. J. W. (Eds.). (1970). Percept-genetic analysis. Lund: Gleerups.
- Krebs, D., Denton, K., & Higgins, N. C. (1988). On the evolution of self-knowledge. In K. MacDonald (Ed.), Sociobiological perspectives on human development (pp. 105–139). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Kroeber, T. C. (1963). The coping functions of the ego mechanisms. In R. White (Ed.), *The study of lives* (pp. 179–198). New York: Atherton.
- Kruglanski, A. W. (1989). The psychology of being "right": The problem of accuracy in social perception and cognition. Psychological Bulletin, 106, 395–405.
- Kubler-Ross, E. (1969). On death and dying. London: Macmillan.
- Kupst, M. J., & Schulman, J. L. (1981). The CPI subscales as predictors of parental coping with childhood leukemia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 37, 386–388.
- Kupst, M. J., Schulman, J. L., Maurer, H., Honig, G., Morgan, E., & Fochtman, D. (1984).
 Coping with pediatric leukemia: A two-year follow-up. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 9, 149–163.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1986). The costs and benefits of denial. In S. Breznitz (Ed.), *Denial of stress* (pp. 1–30). New York: International Universities Press.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer.
- Lifton, R. (1968). Death in life: Survivors of Hiroshima. New York: Random House.
- Lockard, J. S. (1978). On the adaptive significance of self-deception. Human Ethology Newsletter, 21, 4–7.
- Lockard, J. S., & Paulhus, D. L. (Eds.). (1988). Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Loftus, E. F. (1993). The reality of repressed memories. American Psychologist, 48, 518–537.
 Marcel, A. J. (1983). Conscious and unconscious perception: Experiments on visual masking and word recognition. Cognitive Psychology, 15, 197–237.
- Martin, M. W. (Ed). (1985). Self-deception and self-understanding. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- McCrae, R. R. (1984). Situational determinants of coping responses: Loss, threat, and challenge. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 46, 919–928.
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (1986). Personality, coping, and coping effectiveness in an adult sample. *Journal of Personality*, 54, 385–405.
- McCullough, L. (1992). Toward reliability in identifying ego defenses: Clinical techniques. In G. E. Vaillant (Ed.), Ego mechanisms of defense: A guide for clinicians and researchers (pp. 171–179). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Miller, S. M. (1989). Cognitive informational styles in the process of coping with threat and frustration. Advances in Behavioral Research and Therapy, 11, 223–234.
- Mischel, W. (1979). On the interface of cognition and personality: Beyond the personsituation debate. American Psychologist, 34, 740-754.
- Monts, J. K., Zurcher, L. A., & Nydegger, R. V. (1977). Interpersonal self-deception and personality correlates. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 103, 91–99.
- Moore, B. E., & Rubinfine, D. L. (1969). The mechanism of denial (Monograph Series). New York: International Universities Press, Kris Study Group of the New York Psychoanalytic Institute.
- Moos, R. H. (1974). Psychological techniques in the assessment of adaptive behavior. In G. V. Coelho, D. A. Hamburg, & J. E. Adams (Eds.), Coping and adaptation (pp. 101-111). New York: Basic Books.

- Morrissey, R. F. (1977). The Haan model of ego functioning: An assessment of empirical research. In N. Haan (Ed.), Coping and defending: Processes of self-environment organization (pp. 250–279). New York: Academic Press.
- Murphy, G. (1975). Outgrowing self-deception. New York: Basic Books.
- Newton, T. L., & Contrada, R. J. (1992). Repressive coping and the verbal-autonomic response dissociation: The influence of social context. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62, 159–167.
- Nielsen, S. L., & Sarason, I. G. (1981). Emotion, personality and selective attention. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 41, 945–960.
- Nisbett, R., & Ross, L. (1979). Human inference: Strategies and shortcomings of social judgment. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Norem, J. K., & Cantor, N. (1986). Defensive pessimism: Harnessing anxiety as a motivation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51, 1208–1217.
- Ofshe, R., & Watters, E. (1994). Making monsters: False memories, psychotherapy, and sexual hysteria. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1984). Two-component models of socially desirable responding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46, 598–609.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1986). Self-deception and impression managment in test responses. In A. Angleitner & J. S. Wiggins (Eds.), Personality assessment via questionnaire (pp. 143–165). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1988). Self-deception: Where do we stand? In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 251–255). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1991). Measurement and control of response bias. In J. P. Robinson, P. R. Shaver, & L. S. Wrightsman (Eds.), Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes (pp. 17–59). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1993). Bypassing the will: The automatization of affirmations. In D. M. Wegner & J. W. Pennebaker (Eds.), *Handbook of mental control* (pp. 573–587). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Paulhus, D. L., Graf, P., & Van Selst, M. (1989). Attentional load increases the positivity of self-presentation. Social Cognition, 7, 389–400.
- Paulhus, D. L., & Levitt, K. (1987). Desirable responding triggered by affect: Automatic egotism? Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52, 245–259.
- Paulhus, D. L., & Reid, D. (1991). Attribution and denial in socially desirable responding. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60, 307–317.
- Paulhus, D. L., & Reynolds, S. (1995). Enhancing validity and agreement in personality impression: Putting the person back into person perception. *Journal of Personality* and Social Psychology, 69, 1233–1242.
- Paulhus, D. L., & Suedfeld, P. (1988). A dynamic complexity model of self-deception. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 132–145). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Pearlin, L. I., & Schooler, C. (1978). The structure of coping. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 19, 2-21.
- Perry, C., & Laurence, J. R. (1984). Mental processing outside of awareness: The contributions of Freud and Janet. In K. S. Bowers & D. Meichenbaum (Eds.), *The unconscious* reconsidered (pp. 9-48). New York: Wiley.
- Perry, J. C., & Cooper, S. H. (1989). An empirical study of defense mechanisms: I. Clinical interview and life vignette ratings. Archives of General Psychiatry, 46, 444–452.

- Perry, J. C., & Cooper, S. H. (1992). What do cross-sectional measures of defense mechanisms predict? In G. E. Vaillant (Ed.), Ego mechanisms of defense: A guide for clinicians and researchers (pp. 195–216). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Plutchik, R., & Conte, H. R. (1989). Measuring emotions and their derivatives: Personality traits, ego defenses, and coping styles. In S. Wetzler & M. M. Katz (Eds.), Contemporary approaches to psychological assessment: Clinical and experimental psychiatry (Vol. 1, pp. 239–269). New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Plutchik, R., & Kellerman, H. (1980). Emotion: Theory, research, and experience. New York: Academic Press.
- Pyszczynski, T., Greenberg, J., & Solomon, S. (in press). Why do we need what we need? A Terror Management perspective on the roots of human social motivation. Psychological Inquiry.
- Quattrone, G. A., & Tversky, A. (1984). Causal versus diagnostic contingencies: On self-deception and the voter's illusion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46, 236–248.
- Rim, Y. (1987). A comparative study of two taxonomies of coping styles, personality and sex. Personality and Individual Differences, 8, 521–526.
- Rim, Y. (1989). Coping styles and use of means of influence in marriage. Personality and Individual Differences, 10, 87–91.
- Rosenblatt, A., Greenberg, J., Solomon, S., Pyszczynski, T., & Lyon, D. (1989). Evidence for Terror Management Theory I: The effects of mortality salience on reactions to those who violate or uphold cultural values. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 681–690.
- Sackeim, H. A. (1983). Self-deception, self-esteem, and depression: The adaptive value of lying to oneself. In J. Masling (Ed.), Empirical studies of psychoanalytic theories (pp. 101–157). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sackeim, H. A. (1988). Self-deception: A synthesis. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 146–165). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Sackeim, H. A., & Gur, R. C. (1978). Self-deception, other-deception and consciousness. In G. E. Schwartz & D. Shapiro (Eds.), Consciousness and self-regulation: Advances in research (Vol. 2, pp. 139–197). New York: Plenum Press.
- Sackeim, H. A., & Gur, R. C. (1979). Self-deception, other-deception, and self-reported psychopathology. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 47, 213–215.
- Sander, F. (1928). Experimentelle Ergebnisse der Gestaltpsychologie. In Bericht uber den 10. Kongress fur experimentelle Psychologie. (Reprinted Ganzheitspsychologie, by C. F. Sander & H. Volkelt, Eds., 1961, Munchen: Beck)
- Sarbin, T. R. (1988). Self-deception in the claims of hypnosis-subjects. In J. S. Lockard & D. L. Paulhus (Eds.), Self-deception: An adaptive mechanism? (pp. 199–112). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Sartre, J.-P. (1956). Being and nothingness (H. Barnes, Trans.). New York: Washington Square Press. (Original work published 1943)
- Schaefer, E. S., & Plutchik, R. (1966). Interrelationships of emotions, traits, and diagnostic constructs. Psychological Reports, 18, 399–410.
- Schafer, R. (1968). Mechanisms of defense. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 49, 49–62. Schafer, R. (1976). *A new language for psychoanalysis*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Schwartz, G. E. (1977). Psychosomatic disorders and biofeedback: A psychobiological model of disregulation. In J. D. Maser & M. E. P. Seligman (Eds.), Psychopathology: Experimental models (pp. 49–60). San Francisco: Freeman.

- Schwartz, G. E. (1990). Psychobiology of repression and health: A systems perspective. In J. L. Singer (Ed.), Repression and dissociation: Defense mechanisms and personality styles: Current theory and research (pp. 405–434). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Schwartz, M. S., Krupp, N. E., & Byrne, D. (1971). Repression-sensitization and medical diagnosis. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 78, 286–291.
- Semrad, E. V., Grinspoon, L., & Fienberg, S. E. (1973). Development of an ego profile scale. Archives of General Psychiatry, 28, 70–77.
- Shapiro, D. (1965). Neurotic styles. New York: Basic Books.
- Shapiro, D. (1981). Autonomy and rigid character. New York: Basic Books.
- Shedler, J., Mayman, M., & Manis, M. (1993). The illusion of mental health. American Psychologist, 48, 1117-1131.
- Sherwood, G. G. (1980). Self-serving biases in person perception: A re-examination of projection as a mechanism for defense. *Psychological Bulletin*, *90*, 445–459.
- Shevrin, H. (1988). Unconscious conflict: A convergent psychodynamic and electrophysiological approach. In M. J. Horowitz (Ed.), *Psychodynamics and cognition* (pp. 117–167). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Shevrin, H., Smith, W. H., & Fritzler, D. (1970). Subliminally stimulated brain and verbal responses of twins differing in repressiveness. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 76, 39-46.
- Showers, C., & Cantor, N. (1985). Social cognition: A look at motivated strategies. Annual Review of Psychology, 36, 275–305.
- Silverman, L. H. (1983). The subliminal psychodynamic activation method: Overview and comprehensive listing of studies. In J. Masling (Ed.), Empirical studies of psychoanalytic theories (pp. 41–61). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sjoback, H. (1973). The psychoanalytic theory of defensive processes. New York: Wiley.
- Sloan, T. S. (1987). Deciding: Self-deception in life choices. London: Methuen.
- Smith, G. J. W. (1984). Stabilization and automization of perceptual activity over time. In W. D. Frohlich, G. Smith, J. G. Draguns, & U. Hentschel (Eds.), Psychological processes in cognition and personality (pp. 135-142). Washington, DC: Hemisphere.
- Smith, G. J. W., & Danielsson, A. (1982). Anxiety and defense strategies in childhood and adolescence. New York: International Universities Press.
- Smith, G. J. W., & Henriksson, M. (1956). The effect on an established percept of a perceptual process beyond awareness. Acta Psychologica, 11, 346–355.
- Smith, G. J. W. & Westerlundh, B. (1980). Perceptgenesis: A process perspective on perception-personality. In L. Wheeler (Ed.), Review of personality and social psychology (pp. 94–124). London: Sage.
- Snyder, C. R. (1985). Collaborative companions: The relationship of self-deception and excuse-making. In M. W. Martin (Ed.), Self-deception and self-understanding (pp. 35-51). Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Snyder, C. R. (Ed.). (1989). Self-illusions: When are they adaptive? (Special issue). Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 8, 1–221.
- Snyder, C. R., & Higgins, R. L. (1988). Excuses: Their effective role in the negotiation of reality. Psychological Bulletin, 104, 23-35.
- Spence, D. P. (1983). The paradox of denial. In S. Breznitz (Ed.), The denial of stress (pp. 103-124). New York: International Universities Press.
- Suls, J., & Fletcher, B. (1985). The relative efficacy of avoidant and nonavoidant coping strategies: A meta analysis. Health Psychology, 4(3), 249–288.

- Swann, W. B. (1992). Seeking truth: Finding despair: Some unhappy consequences of a negative self-concept. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 1, 15–18.
- Taylor, S. E. (1990). Health psychology: The science and the field. American Psychogist, 45, 40-50.
- Taylor, S. E., & Brown, J. (1988). Illusion and well-being: A social psychological perspective on mental health. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 193–210.
- Taylor, S. E., & Clark, L. F. (1986). Does information improve adjustment to noxious events? In M. J. Saks & L. Saks (Eds.), Advances in applied social psychology (Vol. 3, pp. 1–28). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Tesser, A. (1986). Some effects of self-evaluation maintenance on cognition and action. In R. M. Sorrentino & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), The handbook of motivation and cognition: Foundations of social behavior (pp. 435–464). New York: Guilford Press.
- Tesser, A., & Campbell, J. D. (1982). Self-evaluation maintenance and the perception of friends and strangers. *Journal of Personality*, 50, 261–279.
- Trivers, R. L. (1976). Foreward. In R. Dawkins, The selfish gene. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Trivers, R. L. (1985). Social evolution. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin/Cummings.
- Turvey, C., & Salovey, P. (1994). Measures of repression: Converging on the same construct? Imagination, Cognition & Personality, 13, 279–289.
- Vaillant, G. E. (1971). Theoretical hierarchy of adaptive ego mechanisms: A 30-year follow-up of 30 men selected for psychological health. Archives of General Psychiatry, 24, 107–118.
- Vaillant, G. E. (1976). Natural history of male psychological health: The relation of choice of ego mechanisms of defense to adult adjustment. Archives of General Psychiatry, 33, 535-545.
- Vaillant, G. E. (1992). Ego mechanisms of defense: A guide for clinicians and researchers. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Vaillant, G. E., Bond, M., & Vaillant, C. O. (1986). An empirically validated hierarchy of defense mechanisms. Archives of General Psychiatry, 43, 786–794.
- Vickers, R. R., Jr., Conway, T. L., & Haight, M. A. (1983). Association between Levenson's Dimensions of Locus of Control and measures of coping and defense mechanisms. *Psychological Reports*, 52, 323–333.
- Vickers, R. R., Jr., & Hervig, L. K. (1981). Comparisons of three psychological defense mechanism questionnaires. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 45, 630–638.
- Vickers, R. R., Jr., Hervig, L. K., Rahe, R. H., & Rosenman, R. H. (1981). Type A behavior and coping and defense. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 43, 381–396.
- Wallerstein, R. (1983). Defenses, defense mechanisms, and the structure of the mind. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association, Supplement, 31, 201–225.
- Warrenburg, S., Levine, J., Schwartz, G. E., Fontana, A. F., Kerns, R. D., Delaney, R., & Mattson, R. (1989). Defensive coping and blood pressure reactivity in medical patients. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 12, 407–424.
- Wegner, D. M. (1989). White bears and other unwanted thoughts. New York: Viking Press.
 Weinberger, D. A. (1990). The construct validity of the repressive style. In J. L. Singer (Ed.),
 Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality theory, psychopathology, and health (pp. 337–386). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Weinberger, D. A., & Schwartz, G. E. (1990). Distress and restraint as superordinate dimensions of self-reported adjustment: A typological perspective. *Journal of Personality*, 58, 381–417.

- Weinberger, D. A., Schwartz, G. E., & Davidson, R. J. (1979). Low-anxious high-anxious, and repressive coping styles: Psychometric patterns and behavioral and physiological responses to stress. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 88, 369–380.
- Weinberger, J. (1989). Response to Balay & Shevrin: Constructive critique or misguided attack? American Psychologist, 44, 1417–1419.
- Weinberger, J., & Silverman, L. H. (1990). Testability and empirical verification of psychoanalytic dynamic propositions through subliminal psychodynamic activation. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 7, 299–339.
- Williams, L. M. (1994). Recall of childhood trauma: A prospective study of women's memories of child sexual abuse. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology, 62, 1167–1176.
- Wilson, T. D. (1985). Self-deception without repression: Limits on access to mental states: In M. W. Martin (Ed.), Self-deception and self-understanding (pp. 95–116). Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Woike, B. A., Aronoff, J., Stollak, G. E., & Loraas, J. A. (1994). Links between intrapsychic and interpersonal defenses in dyadic interaction. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 28, 101–113.
- Zajonc, R. B. (1980). Feeling and thinking: Preferences need no inferences. American Psychologist, 35, 151–175.